



Public consultation: draft *Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol*

Personal details

Full name Samantha Menezes

[NHMRC has removed personal information]

Submission reflects

Organisation / Individual An individual

Individual Background Researcher – Other

Questions

1. Please indicate which format you read the guideline in.
PDF report
2. The draft guidelines are presented in a new IT platform, MAGICapp. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The draft Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol are easy to navigate in MAGICapp.*
Neither agree nor disagree
3. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The Plain English summary is clear, simple and easy to understand.*
Strongly agree
4. Do you have any comments on how the *Plain English summary* could be improved?
No comment
5. Do you have any comments on how the *Introduction* could be improved?
No comment
6. Do you have any comments on how the *Background* could be improved?
No comment
7. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The Understanding risk section is clear, simple and easy to understand.*
Strongly agree
8. Do you have any comments on how the *Understanding risk* section could be improved?

No comment

9. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline One?

Happy with how evidence has been used.

10. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).

No not that I am aware of.

11. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline One?

No

12. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline Two?

Happy with how the evidence has been used.

13. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).

Not that I am aware of.

14. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline Two?

Reads well.

15. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline Three?

No issues with the evidence.

16. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).

Not that I am aware of.

17. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline Three?

No comments. Pleased this is worded this way.

18. Do you have any comments on how the *Drinking frequency* section could be improved?

No improvement needed.

19. Do you have any comments on how the *Administrative report* could be improved?

No all ok.

20. Are there any additional terms that should be added to the *glossary*?

No all ok.

21. Are there any additional abbreviations or acronyms that should be added to this section?

No all ok.

22. Do you have any comments on how the *Australian standard drinks* section could be improved?

No all good.

Disclaimer I have read the security warning/disclaimer below and accept the risks and conditions outlined.

Permission to publish yes